# Propagating Interaction Uncertainties via Event Reweighting

Workshop for the 45th Karpacz Winter School 11th February 2009

Jim Dobson (j.dobson07@imperial.ac.uk) Also for Costas Andreopoulos (RAL) and Steve Dytman (Pitt)

Imperial College London



- Motivations
  - Intranuclear rescattering

     reweighting scheme
     validation
     example
  - Neutrino cross sections
     » reweighting scheme
     »validation
     » example
- Performance and applications

### Imperial College London

# **Motivations**

#### Quantify the effect of interaction uncertainties in physics measurements.



# **Motivations**

Want to see effect of different input MC model parameters on observable.



Without running full MC again (GENIE MDC0 sample @ Liverpool 5E+21 POT ~ 200 CPUs \* 3 weeks).

**Event reweighting provides a shortcut.** Use original MC data set but for every event generate a weight that reflects the change in probability due to changing some physics input parameter.

Limited to processes for which probability can be calculated without resorting to MC methods.

Imperial College London

# Intranuclear Hadron Transport Reweighting

Unlike typical cascade models GENIE's INTRANUKE/hA is an effective model. And so it is possible to calculate probabilities without resorting to MC methods.

# Intranuclear Hadron Transport Model (INTRANUKE/hA)



Reweighting code has to calculate exactly the same rescattering probability.

#### Imperial College London

6

#### At few GeV energies most hadrons re-interact.



Distance to escape nucleus in mean free paths. Hatched region shows fraction of events ( $\sim$ 1/3) that escaped. 100k events on C12.

Imperial College London

#### No pions in initial state $\rightarrow$ 1pi+ in final state.

|                |      | Topology before |           |           |           |               |               |               |            |           |           |               |
|----------------|------|-----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------------|---------------|---------------|------------|-----------|-----------|---------------|
| Topology after | (    | $\pi X$         | $\pi^0 X$ | $\pi^+ X$ | $\pi^- X$ | $\pi^0\pi^+X$ | $\pi^0\pi^-X$ | $\pi^+\pi^-X$ | $2\pi^0 X$ | $2\pi^+X$ | $2\pi^-X$ | $\geq 3\pi X$ |
| $0\pi X$       | 6053 | 3177            | 291116    | 520783    | 72611     | 9949          | 1843          | 6236          | 3037       | 2073      | 195       | 2390          |
| $\pi^0 X$      | 26   | 6265            | 902112    | 87831     | 11465     | 42229         | 7916          | 1746          | 23933      | 616       | 49        | 10371         |
| $\pi^+X$       | 42   | 2820            | 26243     | 1655899   | 481       | 41826         | 157           | 24599         | 483        | 16408     | 0         | 12490         |
| $\pi^- X$      | 4    | 4502            | 24564     | 15        | 243424    | 700           | 7874          | 24536         | 435        | 0         | 1253      | 6633          |
| $\pi^0\pi^+X$  | 9    | 9948            | 21378     | 28679     | 5758      | 194323        | 594           | 5082          | 2770       | 2877      | 24        | 41100         |
| $\pi^0\pi^-X$  |      | 0               | 44        | 2         | 1         | 93            | 35773         | 3630          | 1690       | 0         | 198       | 17552         |
| $\pi^+\pi^-X$  | 16   | 6804            | 183       | 146       | 1846      | 3058          | 584           | 108396        | 38         | 0         | 3         | 40218         |
| $2\pi^0 X$     |      | 0               | 0         | 0         | 0         | 6002          | 1171          | 113           | 54246      | 52        | 0         | 21323         |
| $2\pi^+X$      | ]    | 1225            | 128       | 9496      | 19        | 3533          | 1             | 298           | 24         | 37812     | 0         | 18160         |
| $2\pi^-X$      |      | 0               | 0         | 0         | 13        | 0             | 584           | 0             | 20         | 0         | 2833      | 2891          |
| $\geq 3\pi X$  |      | 5352            | 6480      | 11459     | 2221      | 13563         | 2661          | 8282          | 4133       | 2416      | 126       | 566980        |
| Total          | 6160 | 0093            | 1272248   | 2314310   | 337839    | 315276        | 59158         | 182918        | 90809      | 62254     | 4681      | 740108        |

#### Imperial College London

# **Effect on Pion Momenta**



Imperial College London

We consider two types of parameters:

- Ones that control the total reinteraction rate:
  - Mean free path

 Ones that control the relative fractions of various rescatterring modes (fates):

- Probability for charge exchange
- Probability for pion production
- Probability for absorption followed by nuclear breakup
- Probability for elastic scattering
- Probability for inelastic scattering

#### Separately for nucleons and pions.

#### Imperial College London

# **Intranuclear Hadron Transport Tweaking Parameters**

| Physics          | Short description                           | T2KReWeight knob                  | Default | Error         |
|------------------|---|-----------------------------------|---------|---------------|
| Param.           |   | $(T2KSyst_{-}t \text{ variable})$ | value   | (1 <i>σ</i> ) |
| $x_{mfp}^N$      | Tweaks the nucleon mean free path           | $kSystINuke\_MFPTwk\_N$           | 0.0     | 1.0           |
| $x_{cex}^N$      | Tweaks the nucleon charge exchange prob.    | kSystINuke_CExTwk_N               | 0.0     | 1.0           |
| $x_{el}^N$       | Tweaks the nucleon elastic reaction prob.   | kSystINuke_ElTwk_N                | 0.0     | 1.0           |
| $x_{inel}^N$     | Tweaks the nucleon inelastic reaction prob. | kSystINuke_InelTwk_N              | 0.0     | 1.0           |
| $x^N_{abs}$      | Tweaks the nucleon absorption prob.         | kSystINuke_AbsTwk_N               | 0.0     | 1.0           |
| $x_\pi^N$        | Tweaks the nucleon $\pi$ -production prob.  | $kSystINuke\_PiProdTwk\_N$        | 0.0     | 1.0           |
| $x_{mfp}^{\pi}$  | Tweaks the $\pi$ mean free path             | kSystINuke_MFPTwk_pi              | 0.0     | 1.0           |
| $x_{cex}^{\pi}$  | Tweaks the $\pi$ charge exchange prob.      | $kSystINuke\_CExTwk\_pi$          | 0.0     | 1.0           |
| $x_{el}^{\pi}$   | Tweaks the $\pi$ elastic reaction prob.     | kSystINuke_ElTwk_pi               | 0.0     | 1.0           |
| $x_{inel}^{\pi}$ | Tweaks the $\pi$ inelastic reaction prob.   | $kSystINuke\_InelTwk\_pi$         | 0.0     | 1.0           |
| $x^{\pi}_{abs}$  | Tweaks the $\pi$ absorption prob.           | kSystINuke_AbsTwk_pi              | 0.0     | 1.0           |
| $x^{\pi}_{\pi}$  | Tweaks the $\pi$ $\pi$ -production prob.    | $kSystINuke\_PiProdTwk\_pi$       | 0.0     | 1.0           |
|                  |   |                                   |         |               |

Imperial College London

11

# **Unitarity Constraints**



Intranuke schemes should, by construction, maintain unitarity.

Qualitatively this can be seen by considering an observer who is blind to the hadronic system in the box.

To them the outgoing primary lepton distribution should remain unchanged.

We require that the sum of weights is equal to the number of events  $N_{\text{tot}}\,$  as

$$N_{tot}' = \sum_{j=1}^{j=N_{tot}} w_j^{evt}$$

So look at distribution of weights for a given sample and expect a mean weight of 1.

See internal note for more detailed explanation on the unitarity constraints.

#### Imperial College London

# Prescription for calculating weights

# **Calculating Weight to Account for Change in Mean Free Path**



# **Calculating Weights for Change in Hadron Fate XSections**



London

# Intranuke Reweighting Validation

Imperial College London

# Weight Distributions: Rescattering Rate Scheme



• Most hadrons interact (~2/3) --> Expected asymmetry in weight distributions.

• Unity is conserved to ~ 1 part in 1000 despite this asymmetry.

#### Imperial College London

17

## Weight Distributions: Fates Scheme



Discrete peaks and continuous distributions as expected. Also unity is conserved to  $\sim$  1 part in 1000.

Imperial College London



#### Imperial College London



#### Imperial College London

# **Typical Hadronic System Properties**



# Example: NC $1\pi^0$ topology error envelope



# **Cross Section Reweighting**

$$weight = (d^n \sigma'/dK^n)/(d^n \sigma/dK^n)$$
weight = (d^n \sigma'/dK^n)/(d^n \sigma/dK^n)
tweaked default

Imperial College London

# **Cross Section Tweaking Parameters**

| Physics                       | Short description                                | T2KReWeight knob        | Default           | Error         |
|-------------------------------|--|-------------------------|-------------------|---------------|
| Param.                        |  | $(T2KSyst_t variable)$  | value             | (1 <i>σ</i> ) |
| $M_A^{QEL}$                   | QEL axial mass                                   | kSystNuXSec_MaQEL       | $0.990~{\rm GeV}$ | $\sim 15\%$   |
| $M_V^{QEL}$                   | QEL vector mass                                  | $kSystNuXSec\_MvQEL$    | $0.840~{ m GeV}$  | $\sim 5\%$    |
| $M_A^{RES}$                   | RES axial mass                                   | kSystNuXSec_MaRES       | $1.120~{\rm GeV}$ | $\sim 20\%$   |
| $M_V^{RES}$                   | RES vector mass                                  | $kSystNuXSec_MvRES$     | $0.840~{ m GeV}$  | $\sim 5\%$    |
| $R^{bkg}_{\nu p;CC1\pi}$      | Controls the non-RES bkg for $\nu p \ CC1\pi$    | $kSystNuXSec\_RvpCC1pi$ | 0.1               | $\sim 50\%$   |
| $R^{bkg}_{\nu p;CC2\pi}$      | Controls the non-RES bkg for $\nu p \ CC2\pi$    | kSystNuXSec_RvpCC2pi    | 1.0               | $\sim 50\%$   |
| $R^{bkg}_{\nu p;NC1\pi}$      | Controls the non-RES bkg for $\nu p ~NC1\pi$     | $kSystNuXSec\_RvpNC1pi$ | 0.1               | $\sim 50\%$   |
| •                             | 16 non-RES parameters                            | n total                 | •                 | •<br>•        |
| $R^{bkg}_{\bar{\nu}n;NC2\pi}$ | Controls the non-RES bkg for $\bar{\nu}n NC2\pi$ | kSystNuXSec_RvbarnNC2pi | 1.0               | $\sim 50\%$   |

#### Imperial College London

# **Cross Section Validation**

London



25

# **Example XSec Error Envelope**

An error envelope generated for numuCC sample where MaQEL has been tweaked by +/-  $1\sigma$  (15%).



Summary

#### Imperial College London

- Whole point was to be faster than regenerating MC.
- Reweighting is between 10 and 100 times faster (even more for certain params)

 Main advantage is that reweight selections of full MC data set further down the MC chain.



#### Imperial College London

• Reweighting schemes developed and validated for neutrino interaction and hadron transport (Intranuke/hA).

• Examples of different applications were shown.

There is a detailed internal note that will be released shortly and in the future the code will be made available at: <u>http://www.genie-mc.org</u>/

# Backup slides

Imperial College London

# **Convergence on Unity**



Imperial College London When tweaking a parameter do so in terms of the error associated with that parameter. For example take the mean free path.

$$\lambda' = \lambda imes (1 + x_{mfp}^N rac{\delta(\lambda)}{\lambda})$$

To tweak the nucleon mean free path to + 1 standard deviation would set

$$x_{mfp}^N = 1$$

Imperial College London

### **Rescattering Rate Tweaking Dial.**

Tweaking the mean free path dial. Get weights,

$$w_{surv} = rac{P'_{surv}}{P_{surv}}$$
 and  $w_{rescat} = rac{1 - P'_{surv}}{1 - P_{surv}}$ 

#### Qualitative behavior of rescattering rate reweighting.

| Mean Free Path | Interaction Probability | Weight                  | Weight                        |  |  |
|----------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|
| Change         | Change                  | (hadrons that interact) | (hadrons that don't interact) |  |  |
| ↑              | $\downarrow$            | $\downarrow$            | ↑                             |  |  |
| ↓              | ↑                       | ↑                       | $\Downarrow$                  |  |  |

#### Imperial College London



Histograms showing difference between regenerated and reweighted samples in units of 1 standard deviation. ~60% of entries are between +/- 1 standard deviation.

Imperial College London

# Weight Depends on Fate of Hadron

Example: Increase Inel, CeX, Abs and PiProd by 10%.



Cushion term (in this case **Elas**) has to decrease to maintain unity. This decrease is not 10% it is a function on energy.

All other terms have increased by 10%.

Hadron that reinteracted by one of the 4-non cushion term channels would get weight = 1.1

Hadron that reinteracted via the cushion term channel would get a spread of weights dependent on energy.

Uncertainties for the various fate reweighting scheme will be taken from data. At present all set to nominal 10%.



#### Imperial College London